2016 UWC UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION ISSUES CONFERENCE

UNEMPLOYMENT FRAUD: DEALING WITH THE "IDENTITY THEFT / IMPOSTER CLAIMS"

NEIL MAC VICAR, VICE PRESIDENT & ATTORNEY

MHA UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION PROGRAM

MICHIGAN HEALTH & HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION 2105 UNIVERSITY PARK DRIVE, SUITE A OKEMOS, MI 48864 (517) 323-3152 nmacvicar@mha.org

June 23, 2016

© 2016 – ALL SLIDES AND INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THIS PRESENTATION ARE CLAIMED AS PROPRIETARY AND PROTECTED UNDER ALL COPYRIGHT AND INTELLECTUAL RIGHTS LAWS, PRIVILEGES AND PROTECTIONS. THE SLIDES AND INFORMATION PROVIDED CANNOT BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE USED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF THE MICHIGAN HEALTH & HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION PROGRAM / NEIL A. MAC VICAR, VICE PRESIDENT [nmacvicar@mha.org], OKEMOS, MICHIGAN

UNEMPLOYMENT FRAUD ...

- It's rampant . . .
- Employers and TPAs must "police" the claims; we should not rely solely on the state unemployment agency to identify fraud.
- In addition, employers and TPA must take the initiative to "prosecute" fraudulent claims; we should not rely on the state agency to stop the benefit payments and enforce the penalties

TYPES OF UNEMPLOYMENT FRAUD

• Mainly, unemployment fraud comes in three (3) main forms:

#1: Claimant reporting an incorrect reason or type of separation [ie: someone discharged reports lay-off]

#2: Claimants not reporting wages earned while collecting unemployment benefits.

#3: "Identity Theft" claims filed by "imposters" in the name of employees who are still employed and who did not actually file a claim.

DETECTING UNEMPLOYMENT FRAUD: IDENTITY THEFT/IMPOSTER CLAIMS

HOW AND WHEN DOES THIS TYPE OF UNEMPLOYMENT FRAUD OCCUR?

• BOGUS OR FALSE CLAIMS FILED BY IMPOSTERS:

- CLAIMS FILED WITH "STOLEN" NAME, SSN AND BIRTH DATE
- THE ACTUAL EMPLOYEE IS STILL WORKING AND DID NOT FILE A CLAIM
- LISTED REASON FOR SEPARATION:
 - LAY-OFF [2014 to current]
 - REDUCED HOURS OR UNDEREMPLOYMENT [2014 to current]
 - MOST RECENT: "TEMPORARY SHUT DOWN OR LAY-OFF" [2016]

TEMPORARY SHUT-DOWN OR LAY-OFF

- In Michigan, there is high concentration of manufacturing [ie: automotive industry] employers who have periodic plant shut-downs for change-over [ie: new car model]
- The Michigan unemployment statute has a provision which "waives" the eligibility requirements for a 45 day period:
 - Good rationale: The employees are not forced to look for new jobs and will be available to return-to-work at the end of the temporary shut-down.
 - Not required to seek work or be available for full-time work during the first 45 days.
 - Also, not required to physically report to Michigan Works [ie: work center]
 - Result: Imposter can get benefits for 6 weeks without having to report "in-person".

FRAUDULENT OR IMPOSTER CLAIMS: WHAT HAPPENS?

THERE IS ONE (1) MAIN OBJECTIVE: PREVENT ANY BENEFITS FROM BEING PAID.

- Employer does not want improper payments made to its account
- The claimant does not want any benefit payments attached to their social security number for income tax purposes:
 - Next tax season [January-April 2017], the IRS and state department of treasury will expect unemployment benefits to be reported as income for 2016.
 - The affected employee will likely not receive a 1099-G, and may not even know that benefits were paid and attached to their SSN.
 - The last thing the affected employee and employer want is an employee being dragged into a dispute with the IRS and/or state department of treasury.
- FORTUNATELY, MHA-UCP HAS AN "INSIDE TRACK" TO GET FRAUDULENT CLAIMS "FROZEN" AND PREVENT PAYMENTS FROM BE DISBURSED. WE ACT QUICKLY!

THE STATE AGENCY'S PROCESS FOR HANDLING FRAUDULENT CLAIMS:

- Initial filing: Claimant needs only a name, SSN and birth date to initiate a claim.
 - The birth date only needs to be within "one year" of the actual date
- Notice of the claim issued to the employer
 - If notice of fraud, then action taken; no response, then benefits paid.
- Notice of fraud from the employer? Then claim is "frozen/blocked" . . . but will benefit payments cease?
- An investigation is commenced.
- But . . . should there be more?

THE STATE AGENCY'S PROCESS FOR HANDLING FRAUDULENT CLAIMS: SHOULD THERE BE MORE?

- Benefit payments should immediately cease upon notice from the employer that the claim is fraudulent.
- Formal notice should be provided to the employer and affected employee that the claim is frozen or blocked.
- No benefits should be paid until the claimant's identity is absolutely confirmed and the affected employee is interviewed.
- Credit should be issued to the employer for improperly paid benefits.
- A specific determination should be issued to the employer and the "affected employee" that the claim was fraudulently filed and that it rendered "null and void".
- Fraud claims that are not adjudicated within the DOL adjudication guidelines/mandates should not be used adversely against the state.

FRAUDULENT OR IMPOSTER CLAIMS: WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?

- EMPLOYERS AND TPAS NEED TO CONTINUE MONITORING THE CLAIM CAREFULLY AND SEEK A DETERMINATION THAT THE CLAIM IS "NULL AND VOID"
 - IF BENEFIT PAYMENTS ARE MADE ON THE CLAIM, NOTIFY HUMAN RESOURCES AND THE AFFECTED EMPLOYEE
 - PROTEST THE BENEFIT CHARGES AND DEMAND CREDIT
- PROVIDE THE EMPLOYEE WITH A 'TOOL KIT" WITH RELEVANT INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE:
 - THE MOST IMPORTANT STEP FOR THE EMPLOYEE: CONTACT THE STATE AGENCY AND AFFIRMATIVELY DENY FILING A CLAIM
- FORTUNATELY, THERE HAVE BEEN NO REPORTS BACK TO THE MHA-UCP ABOUT AN AFFECTED EMPLOYEE EXPERIENCING ADVERSE FINANCIAL ACTIONS IN OTHER AREAS [ie: authorized loans opened, unauthorized credit cards activated, etc.]

PREVENTING FRAUDULENT CLAIMS:

- Require more than just the "claimant's" name, SSN and DOB require disclosure of other "identity proofing", such as employer's FEIN, employer's state agency employer account number
- Require that a claimant must physically report to a workforce development office
- Require that claimant's produce unquestionable evidence of identity something more than a copy of a utility bill.
- Require that employee of workforce development office sign-off and document the evidence received and affirm their belief that the claimant was in-fact the employee [state accountability]
- Cease the payment of benefits via debit card go to direct deposit or hardcopy check = deterrent effect.

IN THE EVENT BENEFITS ARE PAID ...

- Employer must protest/appeal the benefit charges
- Employer must request and seek credit for improper charges
- Employer must notify the affected employee:
 - Notice that benefits were paid
 - Notice that benefits may be reported to the state treasury for state income tax purposes.
 - Notice that benefits may be reported to the IRS for federal income tax purposes.
 - Encourage the affected employee to take other steps [file police reports, notify credit agencies]

QUESTIONS?

COMMENTS?

DISCUSSION AND OPEN FORUM...